

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

 P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/809,819	03/26/2004	Kun-ho Cho	Q74856	7831
23373	23373 7590 03/29/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MAHONEY, CHRISTOPHER E	
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N	1.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2851	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/809,819	CHO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher E. Mahoney	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,12 and 14 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,8-11 and 13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	` ''						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/2004</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed May 20, 2004 citing foreign patent documents fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 5, 14 and 27 objected to because of the following informalities: Both claims 5 and 14 recite that the lens cells are arranged at an identical inclination. The examiner believes that this language implies that the at least one lens cell (from independent claim) is now a plurality of lens cells all of which are at an identical inclination. If it were a single lens, it would be unclear what it was identically inclined to. Claims 5, 14 and 27 should be amended to reflect that the at least one lens cell is a plurality of lens cells. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/809,819

Art Unit: 2851

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lambert (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,815). Lambert teaches a scrolling unit for scrolling incident light in which at least one lens cell 43 which separates the incident light into a plurality of beams is spirally arranged on an outer circumference surface of a column like plate 42 in the shape of a drum (col. 11, line 37), wherein a rotation of the scrolling unit about a predetermined rotating axis simulates a rectilinear motion of a lens array defined by an area 44 of the scrolling unit through which the light passes. The applicant is directed to review figures 1 and 7D as well as col. 11, lines 35-44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,815) in view of Shibatani (U.S. Patent No. 6,332,684). Lambert teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for the three dichroic filters inclined at different angles to separate light. Shibatani teaches that it was known to utilize three dichroic filters 3B, 3R, 3G inclined at different angles to separate light. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the features taught by Shibatani for the purpose of reducing undesirable color mixing.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,819

Art Unit: 2851

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4, 8-11, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 15-28 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E. Mahoney whose telephone number is (571) 272-2122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER MAHONEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER